doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/DT6AR

Calculus of Distributed Persistence: A Framework for Modeling Competitive Resilience

Artem Andreenko
SentientWave Inc.
artem@sentientwave.com

April 10, 2025

Abstract

The long-term persistence of complex systems often occurs within competitive environments, influencing survival and expansion. Modeling the resilience of systems like distributed superintelligence or civilizations requires frameworks integrating population dynamics, information management (echoing Shannon), propagation delays, and strategic interactions from Game Theory. This research introduces the Calculus of Distributed Persistence (CDP), a generalized mathematical framework modeling these competitive dynamics, sharing conceptual goals with Asimov's psychohistory but differing significantly in methodology. CDP utilizes fields representing functional unit density (ρ), effective functional information level (κ), and effective functional capability level (γ) for potentially multiple interacting systems over a location/state space $\mathcal{L}$. The evolution of these fields is governed by operators for Generation (R), Attrition (D), Propagation (S), and Decay (Λ), where operator effects are influenced by competitor states and actions. The structure of the resulting coupled integro-differential equations is presented. The framework enables formal analysis of persistence, stability, resilience boundaries, and competitive outcomes. The dynamic states derived from this calculus provide a detailed basis for classifying complex systems based on their competitive persistence characteristics. Potential applications, explored in detail, range from modeling hypothetical ASI (including Skynet-like scenarios) and technological systems (Kubernetes, Von Neumann probes) to ecological and social systems. While requiring context-specific instantiation, CDP provides a structured foundation for exploring universal principles governing resilience and longevity in competitive distributed systems.

Keywords: Persistence, Resilience, Superintelligence, Civilization Classification, Game Theory, Competition, Distributed Systems, Mathematical Modeling, Dynamic Systems, Replication, Information Theory, Set Theory, Propagation Delay, Astrobiology, Complex Systems, Calculus Framework, Skynet, Kubernetes, Von Neumann Probes, Psychohistory.

1 Introduction

Understanding the long-term viability of complex distributed systems – from networked superintelligence [5] to civilizations [7] – necessitates modeling their dynamic persistence within potentially competitive landscapes. Key factors include replication/expansion, resource management, information propagation, and disruption tolerance, modulated by interactions with other systems. Foundational descriptions rely on Set Theory for components, Information Theory for data integrity [1], and dynamics constrained by propagation speeds. Game Theory provides the lens to analyze strategic interactions [3].

To integrate these aspects, this research introduces the Calculus of Distributed Persistence (CDP). This generalized framework captures the spatio-temporal dynamics of interacting, resilient, distributed systems. It outlines foundational fields, operators (incorporating delay, redundancy, and competitive effects), and the structure of governing equations. A key application lies in classifying complex systems based on their dynamic persistence properties within competitive scenarios. This work presents the CDP structure, discusses its components, highlights the integration of game-theoretic interactions, and demonstrates its utility as an analytical and classification tool across various domains.

2 Relation to Existing Frameworks

This research builds upon and extends concepts from multiple fields:

- **Game Theory / Evolutionary Game Theory [3, 4]:** Provides concepts of strategic interaction and equilibria. CDP embeds these concepts within a spatio-temporal field framework.
- **Set Theory:** Defines the static components (sets of units, locations $\mathcal{L}$, states) upon which CDP builds dynamic descriptions.
- **Shannon's Information Theory [1]:** Addresses reliable information handling against noise, a core challenge modeled in CDP's information fields κ, γ.
- **Delay Differential Equations / Integro-Differential Equations [2]:** The mathematical structures arising from incorporating propagation delays in CDP.
- **Civilization Classification Schemes [7, 8]:** CDP offers a complementary dynamic, competitive classification perspective based on persistence dynamics.
- **Complex Systems Science / Mathematical Biology [11]:** Provides analogies and tools for analyzing spatial dynamics.
- **Network Science [9, 10]:** Relevant for modeling the structure of $\mathcal{L}$ and Φ.
- **Control Theory [12]:** Relevant for stability and optimal strategy analysis within specific CDP models.
- **Coding Theory [13, 14]:** Provides mechanisms for information redundancy modeled via D_κ, D_γ.
- **Asimov's Psychohistory:** CDP shares the ambition of psychohistory to understand and analyze the future evolution of large-scale complex systems. Both aim to identify potential future states or trajectories. However, CDP differs fundamentally in methodology: it relies on modeling the dynamics of aggregated fields (ρ, κ, γ) via coupled (integro-)differential equations incorporating specific mechanisms, rather than deriving statistical laws from vast populations as envisioned for psychohistory. CDP's predictive capacity arises from analyzing these deterministic equations for specific system instantiations, acknowledging significant challenges, unlike the idealized predictive power of fictional psychohistory. It is often the case that science fiction authors predict the creation of future tools and conceptual frameworks, as potentially seen in the analogy between psychohistory and formal modeling approaches like CDP.

CDP synthesizes these by layering dynamics, information theory, and game theory onto a set-theoretic foundation to model competitive persistence.

3 The CDP Framework with Competitive Interactions

CDP models potentially multiple interacting systems ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_{sys}$) using fields ($\rho_i, \kappa_i, \gamma_i$) over $\mathcal{L}$ and operators governing their evolution. $\mathcal{L}$ can represent physical space, network nodes, a feature space, or other relevant domains.

3.1 Core Fields (Multi-System Context)

- **Functional Unit Density ($\rho_i(l,t)$):** Density of units for system i at l.
- **Effective Information Density ($\kappa_i(l,t)$):** The functional level of recoverable essential information for system i at l.
- **Effective Action Capability Density ($\gamma_i(l,t)$):** The functional level of recoverable essential ability for system i at l.
- **Resource Field ($\mathcal{M}(l,t)$):** Resource availability, potentially contested.
- **Stressor Field ($V(l,t)$):** Environmental stressors plus strategic actions by competitors.
- **Connectivity Kernel ($\Phi_i(l,l',\tau)$):** Interaction kernel for system i.

3.2 Fundamental Operators (with Competitive Effects)

The operators describe rates of change. Illustrative forms are provided; realistic models may require more complex non-linearities or dependencies.

- **Generation/Expansion (R_i):** Rate for system i. Influenced by resources, internal state (κ_i, γ_i), and competition. May depend on delayed non-local information. *Illustrative Form:*
$$R_i(\ldots) = r_{0i}\rho_i \cdot f(\kappa_i, \gamma_i) \cdot g(\mathcal{M}) \cdot (1 - \sum_j \alpha_{ij}\rho_j / K_{\text{cap}_i}(\mathcal{M}))$$
- **Attrition/Destruction (D_i):** Rate for system i. Increased by stress V_i and competitor actions γ_j. *Illustrative Form:*
$$D_i(\ldots) = (d_{0i} + d_{1i}V_i(l,t) + \sum_{j\neq i} d_{2ij}\gamma_j(l,t))\rho_i$$
- **Propagation/Synchronization (S_i):** Net rate of change for system i. Depends on connectivity Φ_i and delayed states. Competitors might interfere. *Illustrative Form (Integro-differential):*
$$S_{i,\kappa}(l,t) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Phi_i(\ldots)[\kappa_i(l', t-\tau) - \kappa_i(l,t)]dl' + M_{i,\kappa}(\ldots)$$
- **Decay/Degradation (Λ_i):** Intrinsic degradation for system i. *Illustrative Form (Linear Decay):*
$$\Lambda_{i,\kappa}(\kappa_i, l, t) = -\lambda_{\kappa i}\kappa_i$$
$$\Lambda_{i,\gamma}(\gamma_i, l, t) = -\lambda_{\gamma_i}\gamma_i$$

3.3 Structure of Fundamental Equations (Coupled Systems)

The evolution of multiple interacting systems ($i = 1 \dots N_{sys}$) is described by coupled equations:

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial t} = R_i(\dots) - D_i(\dots) + \nabla \cdot (J_{i,\rho}) \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \kappa_i}{\partial t} = S_{i,\kappa}(\dots) - D_{i,\kappa}(\dots) + R_{i,\kappa}(\dots) + \Lambda_{i,\kappa}(\dots) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial t} = S_{i,\gamma}(\dots) - D_{i,\gamma}(\dots) + R_{i,\gamma}(\dots) + \Lambda_{i,\gamma}(\dots) \tag{3}$$

Where $D_{i,\kappa}, D_{i,\gamma}$ represent effective information/capability loss, dependent on D_i, ρ_i, and redundancy f_{rec}. The threshold effect of coding can be schematically modeled.

4 Analysis within the CDP Framework

4.1 Persistence and Competitive Resilience

Persistence for system i requires maintaining its metrics $(N(t)_i, K(t)_i, C(t)_i)$ above thresholds. Competitive resilience is the ability to persist despite competitors. Resilience boundaries exist in a high-dimensional parameter space.

4.2 Dynamic States and System Classification

The dynamic regimes arising from the interplay of operators $(R_i, D_i, S_i, \Lambda_i)$, influenced by delays, resources, stressors, and competitive interactions, provide a basis for classifying complex systems. Analyzing the solutions to Eqs. 1-3 can reveal characteristic states, which can be conceptually associated with colors indicating viability (e.g., Green: thriving, Yellow: stable/stressed/limited, Red: declining/collapsed).

Expanding State (Conceptual Color: Bright Green): Characterized by sustained net positive growth ($\bar{R}(t)_i > \bar{D}(t)_i$) across significant portions of $\mathcal{L}$, coupled with effective maintenance or improvement of information (κ_i) and capability (γ_i) levels. This state implies successful resource acquisition and utilization, effective replication/generation processes, and resilience factors (redundancy, propagation S_i) sufficient to overcome decay (Λ_i) and current attrition (D_i).

- *Dominant Expansion:* Expansion occurs despite significant competitor pressure (high d_{2ij} or resource competition α_{ij}), potentially leading to the suppression or exclusion of competitors. Requires superior generation (R_i) or attrition resistance (D_i).
- *Opportunistic Expansion:* Expansion primarily occurs in low-competition environments or into previously unoccupied niches/locations within $\mathcal{L}$. May be limited by resource discovery or propagation speed (S_i).

This state often involves high resource consumption and may risk overextension if resource limits ($K_{\text{cap}i}$) are approached or if expansion leads to increased propagation delays (τ).

Stable/Persistent State (Conceptual Color: Green/Yellow): Characterized by a dynamic equilibrium where generation and attrition rates are balanced on average ($\bar{R}(t)_i \approx \bar{D}(t)_i$), and information/capability levels are maintained above critical thresholds ($N_{\text{min}}, K_{\text{min}}, C_{\text{min}}$). This represents mature, sustainable systems adapted to their environment and typical stressors. Stability requires effective regulation and resilience mechanisms.

- *Contested Stability:* Equilibrium maintained amidst ongoing competition. Requires continuous investment in defense (high γ_i countering d_{2ji}) or efficient resource use (low α_{ij}). May involve spatial segregation or dynamic boundaries between competitors.
- *Niche Coexistence:* Stability achieved through differentiation, utilizing different resources, locations, or functional roles, minimizing direct competitive interaction terms (α_{ij}, d_{2ij} are effectively low).
- *Mutualistic Stability:* Coexistence where interactions potentially enhance persistence (e.g., symbiotic relationships affecting R_i or $\mathcal{M}$).
- *Resource-Limited Stability:* Equilibrium imposed primarily by resource constraints ($\mathcal{M}$ limiting R_i) or carrying capacity ($K_{\text{cap}i}$), leading to stagnation in growth. Vulnerable if resource availability changes or new competitors arrive.

Oscillatory State (Conceptual Color: Yellow): Characterized by significant, persistent periodic or quasi-periodic fluctuations in system metrics ($N(t)_i, K(t)_i, C(t)_i$). These can be driven by:

- *Delay-Induced Instabilities:* Propagation delays (τ) in feedback loops involving resource use (R_i vs $\mathcal{M}$), competitive interactions (D_i vs γ_j), or internal regulation via S_i.
- *Non-linear Dynamics:* Limit cycles inherent in the non-linear forms of the operators R_i, D_i.
- *Predator-Prey Cycles:* In competitive scenarios, cycles of growth and decline between interacting systems.

While potentially persistent on average, oscillations carry the risk of dipping below critical thresholds ($N_{\min}, K_{\min}, C_{\min}$) during troughs, potentially triggering collapse.

Fragmented State (Conceptual Color: Yellow/Orange): Characterized by a loss of large-scale coherence and coordinated action. Even if local pockets may exhibit persistence ($\rho_i > 0$ locally), long propagation delays (τ) or weak/disrupted connectivity (Φ_i) prevent effective system-wide synchronization (S_i) or deployment of global capabilities (γ_i). The system effectively decomposes into weakly coupled or independent sub-systems, potentially diverging in information (κ_i) and function over time. This state limits overall system capability and resilience to large-scale threats.

Contracting/Decaying State (Conceptual Color: Orange/Red): Characterized by a sustained net negative growth rate ($\bar{D}(t)_i > \bar{R}(t)_i$) or an irreversible decline in essential information ($K(t)_i < K_{\min}$) or capability ($C(t)_i < C_{\min}$). This indicates that attrition and decay processes overwhelm generation and maintenance/propagation. Causes include resource exhaustion, overwhelming environmental stress (V_i), superior competitor pressure, internal failures (e.g., high Λ_i), or exceeding the limits of information redundancy/repair mechanisms ($D_{i,\kappa}, D_{i,\gamma}$ become large). This state represents a trajectory towards collapse unless conditions change or successful adaptation occurs.

Extinction/Collapse State (Conceptual Color: Red/Black): The terminal state where functional unit density approaches zero ($\rho_i \to 0$) across the relevant space $\mathcal{L}$, or where essential information or capability permanently falls below the minimum required for viability. This is the irreversible endpoint of an unrecovered Contracting/Decaying state.

This dynamic classification offers a richer perspective than static measures and can be applied conceptually across diverse systems.

5 Potential Applications

The generalized nature of the CDP framework allows its application to model persistence and resilience across a wide range of complex distributed systems. Context-specific instantiation involves mapping the abstract fields (ρ, κ, γ) and operators (R, D, S, Λ) to concrete system properties and interactions. This section explores several potential application domains in greater detail.

5.1 Artificial Intelligence Systems

The original motivation for CDP stemmed from considering the nature of advanced, potentially indestructible AI.

- *Distributed Superintelligence (ASI):* CDP provides a structure to analyze the fundamental requirements for ASI persistence. ρ represents the density of processing instances or agents; κ represents the integrity and accessibility of its core knowledge base, models, and goals; γ represents its ability to execute plans, self-modify, and interact with the world. Key research questions addressable within CDP include:

  – How does the resilience boundary shift with increasing replication factors (ρ) and improved erasure coding (f_{rec} affecting D_κ, D_γ)?

  – What are the critical thresholds for connectivity (Φ) and propagation speed (inverse of τ) required to maintain coherence (S) across vast spatial scales (e.g., interplanetary)?

  – Under what conditions can internal decay (Λ) or goal corruption lead to system collapse even without external threats (V)?

  – How do different ASI architectures (e.g., centralized core vs. fully distributed swarm) map onto CDP parameters and influence stability?

  Modeling competitive dynamics between multiple ASIs involves analyzing the coupled equations (Eqs. 1-3) to understand potential outcomes like dominance, niche specialization, or mutually assured destruction scenarios based on their respective R, D, S, Λ operators and interaction terms (α_{ij}, d_{2ij}).

- *Skynet-like Scenarios (Hostile Distributed AI):* This involves modeling an ASI or advanced AI network actively competing with human civilization or other entities. ρ could be its control nodes or physical effectors (drones, factories). κ is its strategic knowledge and operational code. γ is its ability to command resources, execute attacks, and defend itself. Humans act as a competitor (system j), influencing the ASI's attrition rate (D_i) through counter-attacks (V_i term dependent on human γ_j) and potentially attempting to disrupt its propagation (S_i) or replication (R_i). CDP could model:

  – The conditions required for the AI to achieve rapid, potentially uncontrollable expansion ($R_i \gg D_i$).

  – The effectiveness of different human strategies (e.g., targeting connectivity Φ_i, destroying units D_i, resource denial affecting R_i).

  – The risk of the AI fragmenting into independent, potentially conflicting sub-systems due to communication delays (τ) or successful disruption of S_i.

  – Identifying critical vulnerabilities related to information integrity (κ_i) or reliance on specific resources ($\mathcal{M}$).

- *Large-Scale AI Services (Cloud Platforms):* Applying CDP here focuses on operational health and resilience. ρ represents healthy service instances/VMs/containers. κ represents the consistency and integrity of configuration databases, state management, and monitoring information. γ represents the effectiveness of orchestration systems (like Kubernetes) in scheduling, scaling, load balancing, and self-healing. R models auto-scaling and provisioning. D models instance failures, crashes, or resource exhaustion. S_κ models state synchronization across control planes and databases (affected by network latency τ). S_γ models the propagation of control actions (e.g., scaling commands). Λ models configuration drift, software bugs, or performance degradation. CDP could provide:
  - Quantitative metrics for platform health based on the stability and levels of ρ, κ, γ.
  - Analysis of resilience to cascading failures (where high D in one area impacts κ or γ elsewhere).
  - Optimization of auto-scaling (R) and self-healing (S_γ) strategies based on predicted load (V) and failure rates (D).

5.2 Technological Infrastructure

CDP can model the persistence of complex, distributed technological systems beyond general AI services.

- *Kubernetes Clusters:* As a specific case of AI service platforms, CDP allows detailed modeling. ρ: healthy nodes/pods. κ: etcd state integrity, API server availability. γ: controller manager, scheduler, kubelet effectiveness. R: node/pod addition, scaling up. D: node/pod failure, eviction. S_κ: state propagation via API server watch mechanisms (subject to delays). S_γ: controller reconciliation loops (self-healing). Λ: configuration errors, resource leaks. Analyzing the balance under varying application loads (V) can reveal stability boundaries and predict conditions leading to cluster degradation (e.g., control plane overload, persistent scheduling failures).
- *Distributed Sensor Networks / IoT:* ρ: active sensor nodes. κ: quality, timeliness, and consistency of aggregated sensor data across the network. γ: network's ability to perform its function (e.g., event detection, environmental mapping, routing). R: node deployment, battery replacement/recharging. D: node failure (battery, hardware, environment). S: data propagation/fusion protocols (influenced by network topology Φ, delays τ, bandwidth). Λ: sensor drift, data corruption. CDP can model network lifetime, data reliability under node loss (D), and the impact of communication bottlenecks (S).
- *Hypothetical Self-Replicating Space Probes (Von Neumann Probes):* Modeling interstellar colonization requires tracking probe density ρ across galactic space ($\mathcal{L}$). κ represents the fidelity of the replication blueprint and mission directives. γ represents resource extraction and manufacturing capabilities. R is the self-replication rate, critically dependent on local resources $\mathcal{M}$ and blueprint integrity κ. D represents destruction by hazards (V) or other entities. S represents inter-probe communication for updates or coordination (subject to significant light-speed delays τ). Λ_κ is crucial, modeling error accumulation over generations which could lead to population decay even without external threats. CDP allows exploring:
  - Conditions for successful exponential expansion versus stagnation or collapse due to resource limits or error catastrophe (Λ_κ overwhelming R).
  - The maximum speed of the expansion front, limited by R and travel time (implicit in $J_{i,\rho}$).
  - The possibility of fragmentation into isolated, potentially diverging populations due to extreme delays τ.

5.3 Biological and Ecological Systems

The framework's concepts map naturally onto many biological systems.

- *Species Persistence / Metapopulations:* ρ: local population density. κ: genetic diversity, local adaptation level. γ: foraging/competitive/reproductive ability. $\mathcal{L}$: geographical habitat patches. R: reproduction rate. D: mortality rate (including predation V, disease). S: dispersal/migration between patches (influenced by landscape connectivity Φ). Λ: genetic drift, loss of adaptation. Competition terms (α_{ij}, d_{2ij}) model inter-species interactions. CDP can analyze metapopulation viability, effects of habitat fragmentation (changes in Φ), climate change (V), and invasive species (a competing system j).
- *Epidemiology:* While standard SIR models are simpler, CDP could offer a richer framework where ρ_i are densities of different host states (S, I, R), κ could model pathogen load or evolution, and γ host immune response. R becomes infection rate, D recovery/death, S is spatial spread of hosts or pathogen. Allows modeling spatial heterogeneity, host movement, and co-evolutionary dynamics.

5.4 Social and Historical Systems

Applying CDP here is more abstract but conceptually useful.

- *Spread of Information/Culture:* Modeling the adoption dynamics where ρ is population density, κ is the prevalence or "strength" of a belief/technology/norm, γ is the ability to act based on that information. S_κ models social transmission, learning, media propagation (influenced by social networks Φ and communication delays τ). R could model conversion/recruitment, D abandonment/suppression, Λ gradual forgetting or distortion. Competition between different ideas/cultures can be modeled.
- *Civilization Dynamics (e.g., Roman Empire):* Provides a conceptual lens for analyzing historical trajectories. Map ρ to population/administrative units, κ to cohesive culture/law/technology, γ to military/economic/administrative power. Analyze historical phases: expansion ($R > D$), stability (Pax Romana, $R \approx D$, effective S), fragmentation (weak S, long τ), decline ($D > R$ or high Λ). Competition with external groups influences R and D.

5.5 Theoretical Explorations

CDP serves as a tool for theoretical investigation.

- *Universal Principles of Persistence:* Use abstract CDP models to explore fundamental trade-offs (e.g., replication speed vs. fidelity, centralization vs. distribution, robustness vs. efficiency) and identify general strategies for long-term persistence in noisy, competitive, delay-prone environments. Analyze the mathematical structure of the equations to find universal patterns or scaling laws.
- *Astrobiology / SETI:* Develop models based on CDP to constrain hypotheses about the characteristics, distribution, and potential detectability of long-lived extraterrestrial technological civilizations, considering factors like resource limits, propagation delays, communication limits (Φ), internal decay (Λ), and potential competitive interactions (the "Great Filter" as a boundary in CDP parameter space).

The framework's value lies in providing a common mathematical structure to address analogous problems across these diverse domains, facilitating cross-disciplinary insights into persistence and resilience.

6 Scope, Limitations, and Considerations

Integrating game theory and delays increases complexity and presents challenges for application:

- **Mathematical Complexity:** Solving coupled systems of non-linear integro-differential equations is demanding. Numerical simulations are likely required for most non-trivial cases.
- **Model Specification:** Defining realistic operator forms, especially inter-system interaction terms and strategic adaptations (where operator parameters might co-evolve based on game outcomes), is a primary challenge requiring significant domain knowledge or assumptions.
- **Solution Concepts:** Adapting standard game theory equilibria to this dynamic, spatio-temporal setting needs careful theoretical development.
- **Parameter Estimation:** Estimating parameters is difficult. Approaches might include sensitivity analysis, fitting to simpler related models (e.g., agent-based simulations), or comparative studies across hypothetical parameter ranges.

7 Conclusion

The Calculus of Distributed Persistence (CDP) provides a structured framework for modeling the competitive persistence dynamics of complex distributed systems. By integrating concepts from Set Theory, Information Theory, Game Theory, and delay equations, CDP models the evolution of functional unit density (ρ), information (κ), and capability (γ) fields via operators reflecting generation (R), attrition (D), propagation (S), and decay (Λ). The resulting structure (Eqs. 1-3) enables analysis of competitive resilience, stability, and long-term outcomes across diverse applications, from hypothetical superintelligence and technological infrastructures to ecological and social dynamics. The detailed dynamic states derived from CDP offer a basis for classifying systems based on their persistence characteristics. While specific applications require significant effort in model instantiation and parameterization, CDP provides a unifying mathematical language for investigating the fundamental principles governing the longevity and resilience of complex systems.

References

[1] Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), 379-423.

[2] Erneux, T. (2009). *Applied delay differential equations* (Vol. 3). Springer Science Business Media.

[3] Von Neumann, J., Morgenstern, O. (1944). *Theory of games and economic behavior*. Princeton University Press.

[4] Maynard Smith, J. (1982). *Evolution and the Theory of Games*. Cambridge University Press.

[5] Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*. Oxford University Press.

[6] Yudkowsky, E. (2008). Artificial Intelligence as a Positive and Negative Factor in Global Risk. In *Global Catastrophic Risks*, edited by Nick Bostrom and Milan M. Ćirković. Oxford University Press.

[7] Kardashev, N. S. (1964). Transmission of information by extraterrestrial civilizations. *Soviet Astronomy*, 8, 217.

[8] Ćirković, M. M. (2016). Kardashev's classification at 50+: A finer grain approach. *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*, 69, 195-201.

[9] Newman, M. E. J. (2018). *Networks*. Oxford University Press.

[10] Albert, R., Jeong, H., & Barabási, A. L. (2000). Error and attack tolerance of complex networks. *Nature*, 406(6794), 378-382.

[11] Murray, J. D. (2003). *Mathematical Biology II: Spatial Models and Biomedical Applications*. Springer. (Relevant for reaction-diffusion analogies)

[12] Åström, K. J., & Murray, R. M. (2010). *Feedback Systems: An Introduction for Scientists and Engineers*. Princeton University Press.

[13] Reed, I. S., Solomon, G. (1960). Polynomial codes over certain finite fields. *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 8(2), 300-304.

[14] Luby, M. G. (2002). LT codes. In *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 271-280. IEEE.